

Neighbourhood Planning

brought to you by

locality

Site assessment

A toolkit for neighbourhood
planners

Neighbourhood Planning Site Assessment Template

Site Details

Detail	Assessment
Site Reference / Name	41 Stockydale Road, Blackpool FY4 5HP
Site plan showing boundary of site:	Aerial photo of site
 41 Stockydale Road, Blackpool Existing Site Plan 1:250	
Site Address / Location	41 Stockydale Road, Blackpool FY4 5HP
Gross Site Area (Hectares)	0.294 Ha
SHLAA/SHELAA Reference (if applicable)	N/A
Existing land use	Garden ground
Land use being considered, if known (e.g. housing, community use, commercial, mixed use)	Housing

Detail	Assessment
Landowner estimate of development capacity (if known)	Two dwellings
Site identification method / source (e.g. SHELAA, Call for Sites consultation, identified by neighbourhood planning group)	
Planning history (Live or previous planning applications/decisions)	No recent planning application decisions. Previous application for replacement house was withdrawn.
Neighbouring uses	Residential

Assessment of Suitability

Environmental Constraints

Indicator of Suitability	Assessment
Site is predominantly, or wholly, within or adjacent to the following statutory environmental designations: Ancient Woodland Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) Biosphere Reserve Local Nature Reserve (LNR) National Nature Reserve (NNR) National Park Ramsar Site Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) Special Area of Conservation (SAC) Special Protection Area (SPA) Yes/ No/ Unknown	No
Does the site fall within a SSSI Impact Risk Zone and would the proposed use/development trigger the requirement to consult Natural England? Yes/ No/ Unknown	No

Indicator of Suitability	Assessment
Site is predominantly, or wholly, within or adjacent to the following non statutory environmental designations: Green Infrastructure Corridor Local Wildlife Site (LWS) Public Open Space Site of Importance for Nature Conservation (SINC) Nature Improvement Area Regionally Important Geological Site Other Yes/ No/ Unknown	No
Site is predominantly, or wholly, within Flood Zones 2 or 3? See guidance notes: Flood Zone 1: Low Risk Flood Zone 2: Medium Risk Flood Zone 3 (less or more vulnerable site use): Medium Risk Flood Zone 3 (highly vulnerable site use): High Risk	Site is in Flood Zone 1
Site is at risk of surface water flooding? See guidance notes: Less than 15% of the site is affected by medium or high risk of surface water flooding – Low Risk >15% of the site is affected by medium or high risk of surface water flooding – Medium Risk	Low Risk
Is the land classified as the best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1, 2 or 3a) Yes / No / Unknown	No

Indicator of Suitability	Assessment
Site contains habitats with the potential to support priority species? Does the site contain local wildlife-rich habitats? Is the site part of: A wider ecological network (including the hierarchy of international, national and locally designated sites of importance for biodiversity); wildlife corridors (and stepping stones that connect them); and/or An area identified by national and local partnerships for habitat management, enhancement, restoration or creation? Yes / No / Unknown	No
Site is predominantly, or wholly, within or adjacent to an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA)? Yes / No / Unknown	No

Physical Constraints

Indicator of Suitability	Assessment
Is the site: Flat or relatively flat Gently sloping or uneven Steeply sloping	Flat
Is there existing vehicle access to the site? Yes / No / Unknown	Yes
Is there existing pedestrian/cycle access to the site?	
Pedestrian? Yes / No / Unknown	Yes
Cycle? Yes / No / Unknown	Yes
Are there any known Tree Preservation Orders on the site? Yes / No / Unknown	No
Are there veteran/ancient or other significant trees within or adjacent to the site? Are they owned by third parties?	
Significant trees? Yes, within / Yes, adjacent / No / Unknown	No
Potentially veteran or ancient trees present? Yes, within / Yes, adjacent / No / Unknown	No
Owned by third parties? Yes / No / Unknown	No
Are there any Public Rights of Way (PRoW) crossing the site? Yes / No / Unknown	No
Is the site likely to be affected by ground contamination? Yes / No / Unknown	No

Indicator of Suitability	Assessment
Is there any utilities infrastructure crossing the site i.e. power lines/pipe lines, or is the site in close proximity to hazardous installations? Yes / No / Unknown	Yes United Utilities Sewer
Would development of the site result in a loss of social, amenity or community value? Yes / No / Unknown	No

Accessibility

Factor		Guidance
Distances to community facilities and services should be measured using walking routes from the centre of each site to each facility. Additional facilities can be added to the list. The distances are based on the assumption that 400m is equal to approximately 5 minutes' walk. This can be measured using Google Maps :		
What is the distance to the following facilities (measured from the edge of the site)	Distance (metres)	Comments
Town / local centre / shop	<400m 400-1200m >1200m	400-1200m
Bus /Tram Stop	<400m 400-800m >800m	<400m
Train station	<400m 400-1200m >1200m	>1200m The nearest station is 1.68 miles/2.70365 kilometres/2703.65 metres
Primary School	<400m 400-1200m >1200m	<400m
Secondary School	<1600m 1600-3900m >3900m	<1600m
Open Space / recreation facilities	<400m 400-800m >800m	>800m

Factor		Guidance
Cycle Route	<400m 400-800m >800m	400-800m The site lies 426 metres from a designated cycle route and connects to this via minor roads that would provide a relatively safe and attractive connecting route

Landscape and Visual Constraints

This section should be answered based on existing evidence (see guidance notes) or by a qualified landscape consultant.

Indicator of Suitability	Assessment
Is the site low, medium or high sensitivity in terms of landscape? Low sensitivity: the site has few or no valued features, and/or valued features that are less susceptible to development and can accommodate change. Medium sensitivity: the site has many valued features, and/or valued features that are susceptible to development but could potentially accommodate some change with appropriate mitigation. High sensitivity: the site has highly valued features, and/or valued features that are highly susceptible to development. The site can accommodate minimal change.	Low sensitivity
Is the site low, medium or high sensitivity in terms of visual amenity? Low sensitivity: the site is visually enclosed and has low intervisibility with the surrounding landscape, and/or it would not adversely impact any identified views. Medium sensitivity: the site is somewhat enclosed and has some intervisibility with the surrounding landscape, and/or it may adversely impact any identified views. High sensitivity: the site is visually open and has high intervisibility with the surrounding landscape, and/or it would adversely impact any recognised views.	Low sensitivity

Heritage Constraints

Indicator of Suitability	Assessment
Would the development of the site cause harm to a designated heritage asset or its setting? Directly impact and/or mitigation not possible Some impact, and/or mitigation possible Limited or no impact or no requirement for mitigation	No impact
Would the development of the site cause harm to a non-designated heritage asset or its setting? Directly impact and/or mitigation not possible Some impact, and/or mitigation possible Limited or no impact or no requirement for mitigation	No impact

Planning policy constraints

Indicator of Suitability	Assessment
Is the site in the Green Belt? Yes / No / Unknown	No
Is the site allocated for a particular use (e.g. housing / employment) or designated as open space in the adopted and / or emerging Local Plan? Yes / No / Unknown	No
Are there any other relevant planning policies relating to the site?	No
Is the site: Greenfield A mix of greenfield and previously developed land Previously developed land?	Garden ground and previously developed (hen/animal enclosures)
Is the site within, adjacent to or outside the existing built up area? Within the existing built up area (infill)? Adjacent to and connected to the existing built up area? Outside and not connected to the existing built up area?	Adjacent to the existing built up area
Is the site within, adjacent to or outside the existing settlement boundary (if one exists)? Within the existing settlement boundary? Adjacent to and connected to the existing settlement boundary? Outside and not connected to the existing settlement boundary?	Adjacent to and connected to the existing settlement boundary?
Would development of the site result in neighbouring settlements merging into one another? Yes / No / Unknown	No
Is the size of the site large enough to significantly change the size and character of the existing settlement? Yes / No / Unknown	No

Assessment of Availability

Indicator of Availability	Assessment
Is the site available for development? Yes / No / Unknown.	Yes
Are there any known legal or ownership problems such as unresolved multiple ownerships, ransom strips, tenancies, or operational requirements of landowners? Yes / No / Unknown.	No
Is there a known time frame for availability? Available now / 0-5 years / 6-10 years / 11-15 years.	Available now

Viability

Indicators of Viability	Assessment
Is the site subject to any abnormal costs that could affect viability, such as demolition, land remediation or relocating utilities? Yes / No / Unknown.	No
What evidence is available to support this judgement?	No relocation of utilities or remediation works would be required.

Conclusions

Conclusions	Assessment
Summary of key development constraints affecting the site	None known
What is the estimated development capacity of the site?	Up to two dwellinghouses
What is the likely timeframe for development? (0-5 / 6-10 / 11-15 / 15+ years)	0-5 years
Other key information	
Overall rating (Red/Amber/Green) The site is suitable, available and achievable The site is potentially suitable, available and achievable The site is not currently suitable, available and achievable	The site is suitable, available and achievable
Summary of justification for rating	The development of the site for two dwellings would be consistent with the Forum's support for residential development on other garden ground sites in the area. The development would not significantly or detrimentally affect the character of the area and would be consistent with the aims and objectives of the forum.

Locality is the national membership network supporting community organisations to be strong and successful.

Unlock the power in your community with us

Locality

33 Corsham Street, London N1 6DR

0345 458 8336

Locality is the trading name of Locality (UK) a company limited by guarantee, registered in England no. 2787912 and a registered charity no. 1036460.

©Locality

The content of this guidance is for your general information and use only. It is subject to change without notice. Neither we nor any third parties provide any warranty or guarantee as to the accuracy, timeliness, performance, completeness or suitability of the information offered in this guidance for any particular purpose. You acknowledge that such information may contain inaccuracies or errors and we expressly exclude liability for any such inaccuracies or errors to the fullest extent permitted by law.

Your use of this guidance is entirely at your own risk, for which we shall not be liable. It shall be your own responsibility to ensure that this guidance meets your specific requirements.

For formal Government guidance on Neighbourhood planning please visit gov.uk